

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16642 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-356 Year-2014 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

Harendra Yadav @ Harendra Rai Son of Achhe Rai @ Achhe Yadav @
Achhelal Yadav Resident of Village- Panchb hirwa, P.S.- Ramgarhwa,
District- East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2026 Heard Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner as well as Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
27.12.2025 in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 356 of
2014, F.I.R. dated 06.10.2014 for the offences punishable under
Sections 323, 324, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant
alleged that when she was returning to home with her husband,
on the way, they saw the petitioner along with other co-accused
persons fighting with other people. When the husband of the
informant went to resolve the fight, the accused persons
assaulted her husband with knife.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. It appears from the FIR that due to spur of moment the present occurrence has taken place. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the husband of the informant and he received injury but there was no intention to kill anyone. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27.12.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner has clean antecedent and there was no intention to kill anyone, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 356 of 2014 , subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

