

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16368 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-828 Year-2025 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

Rohit Kumar @ Chunnu @ Chunnu Kumar S/o Pappu Saw R/o Bankipur
 Gorakh, ward no. 12, P.S.- Fatuha, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ms. YYY D/o XXX R/o vill - Bhoothnath, Mosimpur Kurtha, P.S.- Fatuha, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Sripriya Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP

: Mr. Presu Snehil, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 20-05-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the
learned counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Fatuha P.S. Case No. 828 of 2025, for allegedly having committed offence under Sections 126(2), 351(2), 74, 78, 318(3) and 3(5) of the BNS and Section 12 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, which has been lodged on the basis of the written report submitted by the informant to the effect that she is a student of Daffodils Public School and also studies in a coaching institute which is behind



Fatuha Police Station. While going for coaching classes, the petitioner and other co-accused used to tease her and further used abusive language against her. The petitioner and other co-accused used to send messages from different instagram IDs to the informant. On 08.10.2025, when the informant came from school, they stopped her on way and , assaulted her and grabbed her hand and tried to kidnap her on their motorcycle. On hulla, they torn her cloth and made a video on road. They also blackmailed her and taken away gold and silver ornaments from her. Due to fear, she gave Rs. 5,000/-, Rs. 3,000/- and Rs. 22,000/- to the petitioner and other co-accused on 16.10.2025, 17.10.2025 and 20.10.2025, respectively.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the informant are neighbours and the matter has been compromised in between the parties and the parties are not willing to proceed with the case any further. A compromise petition has already been filed before the Court of Sub-Judge, POCSO, Patna on 30.01.2026 and a petition for permission to compromise the case, has also been filed on the same day. She further submits that good sense has prevailed in between the parties and they are not ready to proceed with the case. She further submits that the petitioner has got two other



cases pending against him, in which he is on bail.

5. The learned counsel for the informant submits that although the informant has given her statement under Section 183 of the BNSS, in which she has supported the case of the prosecution, but now, both the parties have entered into the compromise and does not intend to proceed with the case any further.

6. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the victim girl has supported the case of the prosecution in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS.

7. Having heard the rival submissions and after going through the records, it appears that vide order dated 06.04.2026, while issuing notice to the opposite party no.2 i.e. the father of the victim girl, case diary as well as the statement of the victim girl recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS was called for. The learned counsel for the informant appears and submits that the informant does not want to pursue the case any further and a compromise has already been entered into between the parties. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing



bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-VII-Cum-Exclusive Special Judge, POCSO, Patna in connection with Fatuha P.S. Case No. 828 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with further condition:

(1) The learned Court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

Ajay/Rajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

