

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16517 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-165 Year-2025 Thana- SANHAULA District- Bhagalpur

Istekhar Mansoor Son of Muslim Mansoor Resident of Village- Khiriband
Sanhoula, Maheshpur, P.S.- Sanhoula, Dist.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Kumar Praveen, Advocate
	Mr. Amit Kumar Mishra, Advocate
	Mr. Barun Kumar Singh, Advocate
	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Kalyan Shankar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190,
191(2), 191(3), 109, 61(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(3)
and 103(1) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his sons Kumar Shivam and Kumar Satyam went to
the market for purchasing vegetables on 23.09.2025, at 06:00
p.m., when 16 named accused persons including the petitioner
along with 200 unknown accused assaulted his sons by lathi,
danda, fighter etc. on account of which they fell and became



unconscious. Further, the accused persons also assaulted his villager, namely, Manoj. The injured were taken to the hospital from where they were referred to Mayaganj Hospital, Bhagalpur. It is also alleged that accused persons abused and tried to create communal tension and earlier also they have tried to disturb communal peace.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of abuse and assault is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that informant alleges that named accused persons along with 200 unknown accused were assaulting his sons but then it is submitted that it does not stand to reason as to why so many accused would have assaulted the sons of the informant without any fault. It is also submitted that no doubt, Manoj died during the course of treatment but then allegation of assault is not specific. It is submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Sanhaulla P.S. Case No. 165 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

