

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16371 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-352 Year-2025 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

1. Abhimanu Kumar Jha Son of Chandra Shekhar Jha Resident of Village - Nandenagar, Balha Ward No. 13, P.S. - Rosera, Dist. - Samastipur.
2. Chandra Shekhar Jha Son of Kapleshwar Jha Resident of Village - Nandenagar, Balha Ward No. 13, P.S. - Rosera, Dist. - Samastipur.
3. Vibha Devi Wife of Chandra Shekhar Jha Resident of Village - Nandenagar, Balha Ward No. 13, P.S. - Rosera, Dist. - Samastipur.
4. Prem Lata @ Ganga Devi D/o Chandra Shekhar Jha Resident of Village - Nandenagar, Balha Ward No. 13, P.S. - Rosera, Dist. - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raja Ram Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, apprehending their arrest, in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 352 of 2025 dated 19.10.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, there is assault by *khanti* and iron rod by the petitioners/Abhimanyu Kumar Jha and Chandra Shekhar Jha.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the Petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no allegation of any overt act against the lady petitioners and even as per the injury report, the alleged injury is simple in nature.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the petition that the petitioner Nos. 1, 3 and 4 have no criminal antecedents, whereas petitioner No.2 has one criminal antecedent.

7. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioners for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioners above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Concerned Court below, in connection



with Rosera P.S. Case No. 352 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

