

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23770 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2023 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

-
-
1. Sonu Kumar @ Prashant Singh Son of Praveen Kumar
 2. Praveen Kumar Son of Late Nand Kishore Singh
 3. Sanjeev Singh @ Sanjeev Kumar son of Late Nand Kishore Singh
 4. Rajiv singh @ Rajiv Kumar Son of Late Nand Kishore Singh
 5. Rajesh Singh @ Rajesh Kumar Son of Late Nand Kishore Singh
 6. Chetan Singh @ Chetan Kumar son of Late Nand Kishore Singh
All Resident of Village- Bich Bazar Ghorwa Gali, P.S.- Sohsarai, District-
Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vikash Kumar S/O Late Ranjit Prasad, R/O, Vill - Barabigha, P.S. Noorsarai,
Dist- Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prem Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-07-2026 Heard Mr.Prem Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and Mr.Murli

Dhar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in
connection with Shohsarai P.S.Case No.50 of 2023,FIR dated
14.12.2023 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 341,323,406,420,504,506 of IPC.

3. Allegation against the petitioners is that they
alongwith other co-accused persons forged Rs. 23,43,767/-



(Twenty Three Lacs Forty Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Seven) to the informant/complainant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have clean antecedent. They have falsely been implicated in the present case. From a bare perusal of the FIR/complaint petition it appears that the specific allegation against the co-accused persons, namely, Nishant Kumar and Nibha Devi. Allegation against the petitioners is that they have abused the informant/complainant, apart from the aforesaid, there is no specific allegation against the petitioners and the complainant/informant have given the amount in question in the bank account of co-accused persons namely, Nishant Kumar and Nibha Devi.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that the petitioners are family members of the co-accused persons and on the basis of the assurance given by them the informant/complainant has given the amount in question to the accused persons.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioners have clean antecedent, petitioners have not received any amount from the complainant/informant and they have been made accused in



the present case merely on the ground that they are family members of the co-accused persons, namely, Nishant Kumar and Nibha Devi, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda (Biharsharif) in connection with Shohsarai P.S.Case No.50 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at



any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

