

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18662 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2021 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

Amarjit Kumar @ Amarjeet Kumar S/o Ramesh Mahto R/o Village -
Ramnagar Naya tola, P.S - Chapra Mufassil, District - Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Madhuri Lata, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2026 Heard leaned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
10.04.2025 in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No.
87 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 324,
326, 307, 387, 506 and 34 of IPC.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant
has shop of tea and Nasta. It is running near Railway Dhala by
the informant and his brother Jay Ram and Hare Ram. It is next
alleged that Amarjit Kr and Triloki Mahto came and demanded
Rupees 200/- as *rangdari* and threatened to kill the informant
and his brother Jay Ram. It is further alleged that Petitioner
Amarjit Kumar assaulted brother of the Informant namely Hare
Ram with knife repeatedly on his abdomen.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail in the present case vide order dated 19.07.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 262 of 2022. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail bond of the petitioner was cancelled vide order dated 21.10.2022 on the ground that petitioner had not appeared before the learned trial court. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to communication gap, the petitioner has not appeared before the learned trial court.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts that petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail in the present case vide order dated 19.07.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 262 of 2022 but the bail bond of the petitioner was cancelled as the petitioner was not able to appear before the learned trial court due to communication gap, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-VIII, Saran at Chapra in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 87 of 2021 subject to the following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

