

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15611 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2025 Thana- BHEJA District- Madhubani

Prakash Panjiyar S/o Late Sanjay Panjiyar R/o vill - Khushiyahi Bhagwat, P.s-
Marauna, distt.- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Baleshwar Kamat Mr.Indra Deo Yadav
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352,
351(2), 137(2), 96, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is in custody since 24.11.2025 and is a
person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that
her minor daughter aged about 15 years was seen
accompanying the petitioner on motorcycle by villagers.
Further, her daughter while leaving the house took along
with her Rs.10,000/- and jewellery.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits



that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner and the victim were in love and they eloped. It is also submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that informant alleges that victim while fleeing took Rs.10,000/- along with jewellery from the house which amply demonstrates that she was not kidnapped. It is next submitted that no doubt informant alleges that victim was a minor but then the same is an aspect which shall be scrutinized at the time of trial. It is next submitted that statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 BNSS wherein the victim has not supported the case of the prosecution as stands recorded in the order dated 16.07.2025 in B.P. No.321 of 2025 whereby the mother of the petitioner was granted the privilege of regular bail by the learned District Court.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Bheja P. S. Case No.82 of 2025, G.R. No.1099 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/vikram

U		T	
---	--	---	--

