

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16879 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-231 Year-2023 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

Kundan Kumar Singh @ Kundan Singh @ Golu S/o Late Upendra Kumar
Singh R/o Village - Kanhauli Bishunparsa, P.S - Mahua, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vasant Vikas, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2026 Heard Mr.Vasant Vikas, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, learned A.P.P. for

the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
27.01.2026 in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 231 of
2023, F.I.R. dated 10.04.2023 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 504, 385
and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he
alongwith other co-accused persons assaulted the informant's
son and informant with iron rod and caused injuries to them.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and
fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as



alleged in the FIR. It appears from the FIR that due to some petty dispute, the present occurrence has taken place. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the son of the informant by means of iron rod and the son of the informant has received injuries, some of the injuries are simple in nature and some of the injuries are grievous in nature. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no intention to kill anyone and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 27.01.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted to the son of the informant and he has received the injuries, apart from that, the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 231



of 2023,with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

