

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5503 of 2024

=====

Ram Nath Thakur S/o Late Arjim Thakur Resident of Village and P.O.-Balha
Maksuda, Anchal-Pupari District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Sitamarhi.
4. The Additional Collector, Sitamarhi.
5. The Sub-Divisional, Officer, Pupari, District-Sitamarhi.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Pupari, District-Sitamarhi.
7. The Circle Officer, Pupari, District-Sitamarhi.
8. The S.H.O., Pupari Police Station, Pupari, District-Sitamarhi.
9. Tej Narayan Thakur S/o Chandrashekhar Thakur Resident of Vilalge-Balha Maksudan, P.S.-Pupari, District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Hans Lal Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel 01
	:	Ms. Babita Kumari, AC to SC-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to issue an appropriate order/s,
direction/s including a writ preferably in the
nature of certiorari for quashing of the order
dated 4.5.2023 passed in Bihar Land Dispute
Resolution Case No. 79 of 2022-23 passed by the*



learned Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Pupari whereby and whereunder in exercise of powers conferred under Section 4 (1) (e) and (h) of the Bihar Land Dispute Resolution Act road allowed the said case in favour of the private respondent and directed to deliver the possession of land measuring 4 dec. appertaining Thana No. 75, Khata No. 1153, Khesra No. 1257 situated in Mauza-Balha Maksudan P.S.-Pupari, District-Sitamarhi.

(ii) to direct the respondent no. 6 to decide the boundary dispute between the parties and get the land measured by Anchal Amin as mentioned above in as per the averment made in para-7 of the plaint of BLDR Case No. 79 of 2022-23.

(iii) to any other relief/s to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be taking alternative remedy in accordance with law before the appropriate authority.



4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

5. If any petition is preferred in next four weeks, the authority shall take into account that the petitioner was pursuing remedy before the Patna High Court while dealing with the limitation petition.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

