

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16797 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-935 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Khushanawaj Quraishi S/O Sadru Quraishi Residence of Village-
Shahjalalpeer, P.S-Sasaram (T), District- Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Prakash Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2026 Heard Mr. Jai Prakash Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Rajendra Singh, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
23.12.2025 in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 935 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 15.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 117R(2), 74,
352 and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner along
with other accused persons armed with deadly weapons have
assaulted the informant and her daughter due to some previous
dispute.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. It appears from the F.I.R itself that due to some



previous dispute the present occurrence has taken place. Although the petitioner is named in the F.I.R but there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act attributed against the petitioner rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including this petitioner. He further submits that similarly situated, co-accused persons, namely, Mahtab Quraishi & Ors. have been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 15369 of 2026. The petitioner is in custody since 23.12.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act attributed against the petitioner and similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram at Rohtas in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 935 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

