

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15671 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2025 Thana- GOGRI District- Khagaria

1. Suman Kumar S/O Prakash Singh R/O Village- Khantaha, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria
2. Ranju Devi W/O Prakash Singh R/O Village- Khantaha, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Binod Kumar, Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocates.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel appearing for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Gogri P.S. Case No. 174 of 2025 for the offence punishable under sections 96 of the BNS lodged on 18.07.2025 by the informant.

3. As per the prosecution case as emerges from the FIR, the allegation against the petitioners is that they along with one another accused person have abducted the minor daughter of the informant under a conspiracy and she further expressed her apprehension that her daughter may be subjected to the



indecent activities. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that pursuant to the order passed by this Court on 12.03.2026, the petitioners appeared before the Investigating Officer and upon their appearance, the victim girl is said to have been recovered and her statement under Section 183 of the BNSS was recorded. In her statement, the victim girl is stated to be 18 years of age and in view of her statement that she is major and can take care of herself, the concerned court has released the victim girl.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has appeared *suo motu* and does not dispute the factual position which has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that the victim girl has already been recovered and the trial court considering the victim to be a major one has released her to live as per her wills and there being no allegation against these petitioners to have done anything wrong to the victim, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of



Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria** in connection with aforesaid PS Case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his/their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

