

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18522 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2026 Thana- KARTAHA District- Vaishali

Sanjay Singh Son of Mahendra Singh Resident of Village- Thegadiah, P.S.-
Kartahan, District- Vaishali, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Kartahan Police Station Case No. 15 of 2026, disclosing offences under Sections 283 and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and 30(a), 36 and 41(1) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 04.02.2026, the police received secret information from the Prohibition & State Narcotics Control Bureau, Bihar, Patna, that liquor traders Prince Kumar and Sanjay Singh (petitioner) had stored illegal liquor in demolished house of the petitioner. On such information, the police, along with the ALTF team, conducted search of the premises and recovered total of 244.3



litres of illegal IMFL.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to village politics. The alleged recovery was made from a demolished and open house, accessible to all, which does not belong to the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged liquor, co-accused, or illegal trade. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious physical possession or premises belonging to the petitioner.

5. Regards being had to the submissions made by the parties, taking into consideration the seizure list showing that liquor has been recovered from the house of the petitioner, and the fact that a huge quantity of liquor, i.e., total 2443.68 litres of IMFL, has been recovered from the petitioner's house and the petitioner is also having criminal antecedent of serious nature of offence, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

