

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21483 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-81 Year-2011 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Praveen Kumar Jha S/o Shubhkant Jha R/o Village - Habibhour, P.S - Bahera,
District - Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kaushal Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 09-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420,
467, 468, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of four cases. It is next submitted that
from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that the FIR is of the
year 2011 but then petitioner is not named in the FIR and his
name transpired in the case in the year 2024. It is next submitted
that petitioner was completely unaware about his involvement in
the instant case but when police came knocking his door, the
petitioner became aware that his name has transpired in the



instant case during the course of investigation. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that in sum and substance the informant alleges that some of the beneficiaries had got them insured with the company of the accused persons after paying premium of Rs.5000/- but when the document was asked for, the same was not handed over on the ground that document would be issued after six months. It is further submitted that the accused who are named in the FIR have been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by order dated 12.08.2015 in Cr. Misc. No.11197 of 2015 (Surendra Mishra Vs. State of Bihar & Anr.), thereafter Dharmendra Mishra also approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.41958 of 2016 and the same also came to be allowed by an order dated 03.10.2016. It is asserted and submitted that 13 years after the occurrence the name of the petitioner transpired in a mechanical manner being Regional Manager of the alleged insurance company. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation and trial to prove his innocence.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No.81/2011, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this court is not co-operating in the investigation in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

