

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15386 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-558 Year-2025 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

1. Devnath Sahni S/o Vijay Sahni R/o vill - Bharatpur Singhara, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali
2. Krishna Devi W/o Vijay Sahni R/o vill - Bharatpur Singhara, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali
3. Soni Kumari D/o Vijay Sahni R/o vill - Bharatpur Singhara, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali
4. Nandani Kumari W/o Devnath Sahni R/o vill - Bharatpur Singhara, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 558/2025, F.I.R dated 15.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioners and the co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner have got no criminal antecedent as stated at para. 3 of the bail petition. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner who are *jeth*, mother-in-law, sister-in-law and *jethani* of the deceased. Learned counsel has submitted that the husband of the deceased is in judicial custody.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners and the husband of the deceased is in judicial custody. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M. Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 558/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure /



Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and
with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

