

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15289 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2024 Thana- ARARIA District- Araria

TAUHEED ALAM S/O MD. ABBAS R/O VILLAGE-BARIGHAT, WARD
NO 1, PAIKTOLA, P.S.- ARARIA, DISTRICT- ARARIA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. HENA W//O TAUHEED ALAM, S/O ISLAM AT PRESENT, R/O
VILLAGE-DUMRA, WARD NO. 20, GHAGHRI, PS-BOUNSI, DISTT-
ARARIA

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Md. Naushaduzzoha, Advocate
For the State	:	Md. Matloob Rab, APP
For the Informant	:	N o n e

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on
behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2.

3. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
498A, 354B, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The prosecution case, in nutshell, is that marriage
of the informant was solemnized with this petitioner on
16.02.2022 as per Hindu rites and rituals. It is alleged that after
marriage, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this
petitioner, subjected the informant to torture and harassment due



to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because happens to be husband of the informant and present case has been lodged due to petty family dispute. There are general and omnibus allegations. As a matter of fact, the petitioner at no point of time demanded any dowry or committed torture. It is further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the informant, as his wife, with full honour and dignity. It is next submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate. In this connection, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon a judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in **2006(3) PLJR 182**. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

8. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner, in the



event of his arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Araria in connection with Araria P.S. Case No. 140 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

