

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17322 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-318 Year-2025 Thana- KEWATI District- Darbhanga

1. Ram Kishor Yadav S/o- Late Guddar Yadav @ Late Gudar Yadav Resident of Village- Navtoli, Chhotaipatti PS- Keoti Dist- Darbhanga
2. Ramsevak Yadav S/o- Late Guddar Yadav @ Late Gudar Yadav Resident of Village- Navtoli, Chhotaipatti PS- Keoti Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pronoti Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard Mr.Bhavesh Kumar Sah, learned counsel for
the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Keoti P.S. Case No. 318 of 2025 instituted under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 117(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023 lodged on 15.12.2025 by the informant, Ajit Narayan.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that while he was sitting at his door alongwith with 'Samdhi', Nand Kishor Yadav, the accused persons armed variously came and allegation is that Santosh Yadav gave repeated blow by Farsa on the head of Devnandan Yadav while Rampukar Yadav



gave blow Ramnandan Yadav causing fracture and Ramlagan Yadav gave blow to Bimal Yadav on the head by rod. Allegation against other is of omnibus in nature and so far as these two petitioners are concerned, the allegation is that they assaulted the 'Samdhi' of the informant, Nand Kishor Yadav as also Bimal Yadav. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that perusal of the FIR would show that the main allegation of repeated assault is on Santosh Yadav, Ram Pukar Yadav and Ram Lagan Yadav whereas omnibus allegation is against these two petitioners who have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that though omnibus in nature assault against these two petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties as also that the petitioners do not have criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the concerned court within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Keoti P.S. Case No.



318 of 2025 to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-IX, Darbhanga subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also the other conditions.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Driving License/Voter ID/Pan Card etc.) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) The petitioners shall be appearing before the police station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioners shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for next six months to mark their attendance and at the end of the period a certificate be submitted before the Trial Court failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds;

(v) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for



cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

