

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19950 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-326 Year-2024 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Mintu Rai @ Mantu Rai S/o- Poojan Rai @ Shivpujan Rai R/v- Khemichak
Faqrabad Ps- Sonapur Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Abhishek Ranjan S/o- Ramashrey Singh R/v- H.No-1, Sunaina Kunj
Magadh Vihar Colony Near SBI Gardanibagh Po- Anisabad Ps-
Gardanibagh Dist- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Parashuram Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

complainant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint
case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406,
420, 467, 468, 471, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, complainant/Opposite
Party No. 2 alleged that this petitioner introduced him with
other co-accused persons for purchasing a land and relying on
the representation of this petitioner, the complainant agreed and



paid Rs. 16,65,000/- for the land and the same was registered in the name of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 through registered sale deed in which this petitioner was an identifier. Later on, the complainant came to know that the accused persons are party of a fraudulent gang engaged in selling land on the basis of forged and fabricated documents and the land in question is subject matter of a title suit being Title Suit No. 08 of 2020 and thus, the accused persons cheated the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. From bare perusal of the complaint petition it is apparent that the entire consideration money was transferred by the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 in the account of co-accused Ganga Rai, Bhushan Rai and Pamod Kumar. Not a single farthing was paid in the account of this petitioner. Petitioner is neither vendor nor vendee of the land in question and he is merely identifier of the sale deed. It is further submitted that after taking the consideration money, the land in question has already been registered in the name of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Moreover, the dispute is with regard to sale and purchase of land, which is purely civil in



nature and none of the acts, allegedly committed by this petitioner, would give rise to any criminal liability. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, nature of dispute and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. First Class, Patna in connection with Complaint Case No. 326(C) of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

