

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15463 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2022 Thana- BANMANKHI District- Purnia

Nitu Rani Daughter of Sri Upendra Narayan Yadav, Wife of Sri Vikash Kumar
Resident of Village- Burhi, P.S.- Madhepura, District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Addl. Director of Police, the Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar,
Patna Bihar
2. The Superintendent of Police, the Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar,
Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amit Kumar Anand, Adv.
For the Vigilance	:	Mr.Arvind Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with Banmankhi P.S. Case No. 185 of 2022 dated 11.06.2022 registered for the offence punishable under Section/s 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, in course of vigilance inquiry conducted pursuant to an order of the Patna High Court to verify the credentials of appointed teachers, it was alleged that the petitioner secured her appointment as a primary teacher in Panchayat Mohania Chakla, District Purnea, by using a forged BTET mark sheet purportedly issued by BSEB, Patna.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by



unilaterally declaring the certificate of the petitioner to be forged, the instant vigilance case is said to have been registered in which the petitioner is absconding. It is next submitted that on the report of the Vigilance, the petitioner, who was appointed as a Panchayat Teacher in the year 2014, got dismissed from service after eight years in the year 2022. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner is a person of clean antecedent.

5. Mr. Arvind Kumar, appearing on behalf of the Vigilance, submits that from the record, it does not appear that the petitioner has been dismissed from service and, on this score, he opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the fact that on the basis of declaration of the document being forged, the petitioner has already been dismissed from service, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner but, with a condition that at the time of furnishing bail bond, the learned court below shall verify from the records with regard to dismissal of the petitioner from service and if the submission of the counsel for the petitioner is found to be incorrect then the bail bonds of the petitioner shall not be accepted.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be



released on anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Purnea in connection with Banmankhi P.S. Case No. 185 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

