

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15492 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2017 Thana- UCHKAGAON District- Gopalganj

Tiger Patel @ Kundan Patel @ Kundan Kumar Son of Ashok Prasad @
Ashok Patel Resident of Village- Rampur Kharea, P.S.- Kuchaikote, District-
Gopalganj, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Indrajeet Bhushan

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pushpa Sinha.1

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 24-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in Uchkagaon P. S. Case No.158 of 2017 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 307/ 34 of the I.P.C. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of four cases, but then, was
acquitted in two cases and the informant alleges that he along
with his brother Amit were returning from Suman Hospital on
13.08.2017 at 10.15 P.M., when they were asked to stop by two
unknown criminals and when they stopped, one of the criminals
wearing blue T-shirt fired causing firearm injury over his right-



arm.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR was against unknown and the name of the petitioner transpired during the course of investigation in the confessional statement of Anurag in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that the case is of the Year 2017, allegation is of firing causing firearm injury to the injured and the name of the petitioner transpired during the course of investigation and even process under Section 82 Cr.P.C. has been issued. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond or try to tamper with the evidence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--



