

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21325 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-829 Year-2025 Thana- RAJIVNAGAR District- Patna

Sunny Kumar @ Shunny Kumar @ Sagar Singh S/o Shambhu Prasad
Resident of - Dumri Obra, P.S - Obra, District - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rahul Raj, Advocate
	:	Mr.Akhauri Kamal Kishore Sahay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ahmad Ali, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2026 Heard Mr.Rahul Raj,learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Ahmad Ali, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 24.12.2025 in connection with Special Case No.2105/2025 arising out of Rajiv Nagar P.S. Case No. 829 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 23.12.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS and Sections 30(a),41 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 122.25 liters of foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case on the basis of suspicion. As per allegation in the FIR, the apprehended co-accused persons have disclosed that



the petitioner and other co-accused persons have fled away from the place of occurrence. Further submits that it appears from the FIR as well as the seizure list that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession or the house of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the Car in question and petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the vehicle in question and petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the Car in question and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 24.12.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has fled away from the place of occurrence, apart from that, the petitioner carries one more case of similar nature other than the present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Excise-I, Patna in connection with Special Case No.2105/2025 arising out of Rajiv Nagar P.S. Case No. 829 of 2025, with the following



conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

