

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18874 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-468 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

1. Rupak Sahni @ Rupak Sahani S/o- Devilal Sahani @ Devilal Sahni R/O- Khairimal, P.S.- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran at Motihari
2. Suraj Sahni @ Surya Kumar S/o- Rupak Sahni R/O- Khairimal, P.S.- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran at Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109(1), 329(3), 324(4), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 427 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to removing of pillars from the land, accused Vikash and Suraj dashed her on the ground and assaulted by lathi and iron rod, while Rupak assaulted her husband by farsa causing injury on head and also



assaulted her daughter, while Vikash assaulted her husband by an iron rod on his private part and Umrawati and Babita snatched her chain

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to removing of pillars from the land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is further submitted that the land belongs to the petitioners on which informant had put the pillars and the same was being removed when an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that even injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature as stands recorded in the order impugned. It is next submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 468 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

