

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18836 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2024 Thana- SHIKARGANJ District- East Champaran

Bhagya Narayan Thakur @ Bhagnarayan Thakur S/o- Ramayodhaya Thakur
R/o Village- Patahi PS - Shikarganj, Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate Ms. Anjali Kumari, Advocate Ms. Supriya Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 352, 351(1), 76, 303(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that her husband gave Rs.1 lakh to Ashok about 14 years
back but then he refused to return the amount, hence, a scuffle
ensued on 11.11.2024 but the matter was pacified. Further, at
07:00 p.m., the petitioner along with named accused persons
came and Mukesh and Sanjay pulled the informant outside the
house and petitioner along with Rajesh tried to outrage her
modesty. On alarm, the son of the informant, namely, Rahul



came when Sanjay snatched his gold chain and Mukesh snatched her Mangalsutra.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, notice under Section 41A Cr.P.C. was given to the petitioner and the petitioner coepeated in the investigation and the police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner but then in a mechanical manner charge-sheet came to be submitted based on which cognizance has been taken, hence, petitioner apprehends his arrest. It is next submitted that when the police, during the course of investigation, never felt the need of arresting the petitioner, whether it would be prudent for the Court to send the petitioner to jail based on an order of cognizance.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Shikarganj P.S. Case No. 87 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

