

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.584 of 2026

In

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1949 of 2025

=====

Satyendra Narayan Singh Son of Late Radha Raman Singh, Resident of Village- Malaypur (Chauhangadh), Ward No. 12, P.O.- Malaypur, Police Station- Malaypur, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Mr. Arvind Kumar Chaudhary the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Home Bihar, old Secretariat, Patna, P.O. and P.S.- Sachiwalay, District- Patna.
3. Mr. Vinay Kumar the Director General of Police, Bihar, old Secretariat, Patna, P.O. and P.S.- Sachiwalay, District- Patna.
4. Mr. Navin the District Magistrate, Jamui, P.O. and P.S. and District- Jamui.
5. Mr. Vishwajit Dayal, the Superintendent of Police, Jamui, P.O. and P.S. District- Jamui.
6. Mr. Satish Suman, the Dy S.P, Jamui, P.O. and P.S. and District- Jamui.
7. Shekhar Saurav, the Officer in Charge, Police Station- Malaypur, P.O. and P.S. Malaypur, District- Jamui.
8. Navin Kumar Singh, S/o Balmiki Singh, Resident of Village Malaypur, Chauhangadh, P.O. and P.S.- Malaypur, District- Jamui.
9. Bablu singh, s/o Late Lalan Singh, Resident of Village Malaypur, Chauhangadh, P.O. and P.S.- Malaypur, District- Jamui.
10. Raushan Kumar Singh @ Golu, S/o Bablu Singh, Resident of Village Malaypur, Chauhangadh, P.O. and P.S.- Malaypur, District- Jamui.
11. Rahul Singh, S/o Navin Kumar Singh, Resident of Village Malaypur, Chauhangadh, P.O. and P.S.- Malaypur, District- Jamui.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Government Advocate 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

4 10-04-2026 Learned counsel for the State-respondents submits

that after the order dated 03.04.2026, the Superintendent of

Police, Jamui has disposed of the representation of the petitioner

vide the order dated 06.04.2026 and nothing remains in the matter.

02.However, learned counsel the petitioners submits that FIR has been lodged and charge sheet has been submitted finding the case true against the accused persons who demolished the boundary wall of the petitioner, no action has been taken by the Superintendent of Police, Jamui for ensuring the safety of the petitioner and giving protection for further construction of the demolished boundary wall of the petitioner.

03. Learned counsel for the State/respondents submits that the matter was referred to the DCLR and a report has been received wherein it has been mentioned that the matter pertains to dispute between two private parties and the Superintendent of Police, Jamui has complied the orders of this Court and it is now for the petitioner to take appropriate steps for reconstruction of his boundary wall.

04. Learned counsel for the respondents also submits that an interlocutory application has been filed with I.A. No. 01 of 2026 for modification of order dated 03.04.2026 passed by this Court wherein a cost of Rs. 2000/- was imposed upon the respondents and prays for waiver of the cost.

05. In the light of averment made in the interlocutory

application, cost of Rs. 2000/- is waived with warning to the State-respondents not to commit such mistake in future otherwise heavy penalty will be imposed. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01 of 2026 stands allowed.

06. The petitioner is at liberty to approach the authorities concerned, DCLR or any other authority for redressal of his grievances.

07. Since nothing remains in the matter, the present petition is disposed of and the I.A. No. 01 of 2026 also stands disposed of.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Anuradha/-

U			
---	--	--	--