

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18847 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-432 Year-2025 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

1. Aman Kumar @ Aman Kumar Singh Son of Baldeo Singh @ Pintu Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara
2. Mahendra Kumar Singh @ Kanhaiya Kumar Singh Son of Late Yogendra Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara
3. Nishant Kumar @ Nishant Kumar Singh Son of Mritunjay Kumar Singh @ Mritunjay Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara
4. Bipin Kumar Singh Son of Bhrgunath Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara
5. Anant Kumar Singh Son of Late Yogendra Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara
6. Yesranjan Kumar Singh @ Sanni Kumar Singh Son of Late Umesh Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara
7. Satyam Kumar @ Satyam Kumar Singh Son of Pramod Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Malkhachak PS -Dighwara Dist -Saran at Chapara

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate

Ms. Archana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 324(4), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant



alleges that on 28.10.2025, at 05:00 p.m., 14 named accused persons including the petitioners came in the ground where informant was playing volleyball and thereafter an altercation took place when accused started assaulting him and even assaulted those who came to save the informant and others. Further, Kanhaiya was carrying gun while Anant was carrying sword and rest accused were having hockey stick, rod, chain and lathi.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that on account of dispute relating to playing in the ground an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is next submitted that no doubt, from the side of the informant 10 people were injured but then the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature, except that of Sujeet, Abhishek and Yuvraj whose opinion with regard to the injury has been reserved. It is further submitted that allegation of assault is also not specific, though Anant is alleged to have been carrying sword but then it is not the case of the prosecution that he assaulted by sword and as far as Kanhaiya is alleged to have carrying gun, the same stands falsified from the fact that the FIR has not been instituted



under the Arms Act nor there is any allegation of firing. It is further submitted that even people from the side of the petitioners have also suffered injury as would manifest from Annexure-2 series to the anticipatory bail application. It is next submitted that petitioners are not the criminals. It is also submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners, the petitioners will not abscond, rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, let the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Dighwara P.S. Case No. 432 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating



Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that the petitioners, despite giving assurance to this Court, are not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

