

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14974 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2025 Thana- ISHAKCHAK District- Bhagalpur

Subodh Rai S/o- Late Farsi Rai Village- Bhikhanpur, Barhi Tola Near Kali
Aasthan, Ps- Ishakchak, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Ishakchak P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5), 109 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that petitioner has assaulted with *farsa* on the head of the informant, due to which he received head injuries. Later on, he died.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. He submits that there is also a counter version of this case. He also submits that *Annexure-P/2* is the postmortem report of the deceased, and from perusal of which, it is clear that the deceased has received one lacerated wound measuring 2"x.5"x scalp deep. He further submits that according to the doctor conducting *the autopsy*, the injury was caused by a hard and blunt object. According to the FIR, there are two assailants and the deceased has received only one injury. He further submits that the weapon of assault is *farsa* which is sharp cutting weapon, whereas the postmortem shows that the injury was caused by hard and blunt object. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 09.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Additional Sessions Judge -XVI, Bhagalpurpur in connection
with Ishakchak P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

