

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17030 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-833 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

AKASH KUMAR @ NIRANJAN KUMAR S/o- Sanjay Paswan Resident of
Village- Jgdevnagar, Bouliya Road, Sasaram Police Station- Sasaram T
District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Sasaram (T) PS Case No. 833 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 309(5) and 109 of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he along with his family members were performing
Laxmi puja on 21-10-2025 at 3 am at his jewellery shop, when
two unknown accused came with an intent to commit loot, but
informant closed the gate of the shop, when one accused started
firing causing fire-arm injury to the informant on his chest and



leg and his son was also shot in the leg.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is against unknown and the petitioner during the course of investigation came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Sonu in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad, vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits no doubt FIR is against unknown as such informant had absolutely no idea that who were the accused who had come in the morning at 3 am to commit loot. It is also submitted no doubt the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Sonu in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value, but then what is not disputed rather stands admitted is that informant and his son were shot by unknown accused, who had come with an intent to commit loot. It is further submitted that name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended-accused and considering the seriousness of the case it can well be argued that the case requires investigation and interrogation of the petitioner for eliciting the truth. It is also submitted that if



petitioner is able to satisfy the police that he was not involved in the occurrence, in that event, final form will be submitted but if petitioner is connected with the offence, in that event, charge-sheet will be submitted.

6. Considering the submission made by learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

