

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15169 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-269 Year-2015 Thana- GRIYAK District- Nalanda

Rana Rakesh Singh S/O Uma Sankar Singh Resident of ward No. 07, Shiv
Mandir Chakpar, Rajgir, P.S.- Rajgir, Dist.- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Giriyak PS case No. 269 of 2015 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 171(3), 272, 273, 419, 420,
467, 468, 471, 188 of the IPC and Section 47A of the Bihar
Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of
recovery of 1950 litres of beer from a pickup vehicle and Vinay
was arrested, who disclosed the name of the driver as Mantu
who fled.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner was not named in the FIR nor Vinay in his



confessional statement disclosed the name of the petitioner nor the seized vehicle belongs to the petitioner. It is next submitted that case is of the year 2015 and petitioner had excise license for doing business of liquor. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, one Sachin was arrested and the Investigating Officer based on arrest of Sachin, got suspicious that petitioner might be involved in the occurrence since Sachin worked for the petitioner, but then Sachin did not disclose the name of the petitioner. It is also submitted that no doubt the case is of the year 2015, but then no effort was made by the police to arrest the petitioner nor petitioner was aware of his implication, it was only in the year 2026, when police came knocking the door of the petitioner then he came to know that he came to be implicated in the instant case based on suspicion. It is next submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks



from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Giriyak PS case No. 269 of 2015 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

