

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15046 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-455 Year-2019 Thana- BARH District- Patna

=====

Ravindra Kumar S/O Bijun Rai R/O Vill.- Chiknauta,P.S- Karja, Dist-
Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amitesh Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

- 3 01-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out
of Barh Police Station Case No. 455 of 2019, dated
30.09.2019, disclosing offences under Sections 379 of the
Indian Penal Code.
3. That the prosecution case, as per First Information
Report, is that on 29.09.2019 at about 01:00 A.M., two
vehicles, bearing registration nos. BR01PJ8423 (white
Scorpio S3) and BR01PA0155 (silver Bolero), were
stolen from the compound of the informant. The said



vehicles were owned respectively by Dilip Kumar Singh (informant) and Babita Devi (wife of Shashi Bhushan Kumar).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the First Information Report and his name has transpired during course of investigation on the basis of confessional statement of Ravi Kumar, who has stated that the petitioner and other accused persons used to do rekee for the purpose of committing theft of vehicles, after which, they used to prepare forged paper in order to sell it in the market. He next submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent also, however, according to learned counsel, the cases registered against him are not of same offences.
5. Having regard to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the nature of offence and the fact that an organized syndicate has been formed for the purpose of theft and sale of vehicles and statement of co-accused may be the basis of further investigation by the petitioner, for which, custodial interrogation of the petitioner may be necessary, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.



6. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

