

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15261 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-514 Year-2025 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Rajesh Kumar Son of Raj Narayan Yadav R/o Village - Rahi Matauniya, P.S. -
Sadar Thana, Distt. - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shivnandan Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Apurv Harsh, Advocate
		Mr. Manu Tripathi, Advocate
		Mr. Raghu Raj Pratap, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

8 27-07-2026 At the outset, the Office is directed to de-tag Cr. Misc.
No. 12971 of 2026 from the present case.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the informant.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sitamarhi
P.S. Case No. 514 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 316(4) & 318(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

4. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner, in
conspiracy with officials of an NBFC (a non-banking company),
fraudulently obtained and processed loans in the names of 22
women by using forged KYC documents, manipulated Aadhaar



details, and unauthorized OTP verification. It is alleged that the fraud resulted in the misappropriation of approximately ₹18.69 lakh, leading to registration of the FIR.

5. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has been in custody since 10.12.2025. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent, as disclosed in paragraph 3 of the bail application.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession. It is further submitted that, pursuant to the order dated 17.06.2026, the petitioner has made payment to the informant to the tune of Rs. 6,22,000/- (Rs. Six Lakh Twenty-Two thousand), which is mentioned in paragraph No. 4 of the supplementary affidavit.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail. Learned counsel for the informant has no objection, if petitioner be enlarged on bail as he has received payment.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, and since petitioner has deposited the amount of Rs. 6,22,000/- (Rs.



Six Lakh Twenty-Two thousand) with the informant, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of ₹15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below/concerned Court in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 514 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be a close family member of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed during the trial. In the event of his default in appearance on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

