

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15555 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2024 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East
Champaran

=====

Vikash Kumar S/o- Panalal sah R/v- Bijbani Ps- Jitna Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar No.Iii

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in Dumariyaghat P. S. Case No.86 of 2024 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 8, 20(b)(ii)(C),
25 of the NDPS Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and
allegation is of recovery of 53 kg. of ganja from a Tata
Sumo vehicle and Jitendra was apprehended.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence, as
such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession



and his name transpired in the confessional statement of Jitendra, who disclosed that ganja and vehicle belongs to Vikash Kumar, mobile no.7485062825 and Rambabu Sah and they had asked him to deliver ganja to Rambabu Sah at Muhammadpur, Gopalganj. Further that earlier on their instruction, he had delivered ganja to Rambabu Sah at Muhammadpur.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that confessional statement in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value and petitioner is not the owner of the seized vehicle.

6. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that allegation is of recovery of commercial quantity of the ganja and the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused. It is fairly submitted that confessional statement does not have any evidentiary value, but then, since name of the petitioner has transpired in the case, the case requires to be investigated since huge quantity of narcotic is alleged to have been recovered.

7. Considering the submissions made by the



learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

