

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15469 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Bhojpur

Pappu Kumar Yadav @ Pappu Kumar S/O Sudama Yadav Resident of
Village- Bhagwanpur Kedera, P.S.- Khawaspur (O.P.), Barhara, District-
Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashutosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Mahila P.S. Case No. 105 of 2024, for the offences under
Sections 341, 323, 307, 354(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code
and sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accusation
against the accused persons named in the F.I.R., including the
petitioner, is of harassing and torturing the informant for dowry.
Further allegation of assault and making an attempt to commit
rape upon the informant were also made against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He
further submitted that prior to this case informant has lodged



several false cases against her husband and his family. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Bench vide order dated 15.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 43713 of 2025. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Specific allegation of assault and committing rape upon the informant is against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

