

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16341 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2024 Thana- DHORAIYA District- Banka

Munna Mandal, Son of Late Suddin Mandal. Resident of Village -Ahiro, P.S.
-Dhoraiya, District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Nandan Prasad, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Dhoraiya P.S. Case No. 252 of 2024 dated
23.07.2024 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 192(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 132, 223,
121(1), 352 and 351(2) of B.N.S

3. As per allegation, the Police got information about
altercation took place between two groups in a village, reached
there, and found two sides attacking each with *lathi*, *danda*,
firearms, bricks and stones. It is further alleged that in the
process of pacifying the matter, the persons from both sides
entered into scuffle with the Police party. Altogether 33 persons
including the petitioner and 20-25 unknown persons have been



named in the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused persons have already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by co-ordinate Benches of this Court vide orders dated 12.11.2024, 14.11.2024 and 21.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos.74087 along with 74397 of 2024, 75540 of 2024 along with 75867 of 2024 and 32193 of 2025 respectively. He also submits that the whole case against the petitioner is based on suspicion and he was not present at the place of occurrence.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. In view of following the principle of parity, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of



receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned court below in connection with Dhoraiya P.S. Case No. 252 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S 2023, and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedent, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

