

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17656 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2024 Thana- LUTUA District- Gaya

=====

Ravi Kumar S/O Mahendra Bhuiyan R/O Vill.- Latua, P.S.- Latua, Tola
Narayandih, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrigendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Lutua P.S. Case No. 25 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115, 109, 74, 76, 303(2), 352, 351(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the co-accused, namely, Krishna Bhuiyan, Raj Kumar, Jitendra Kumar, and Ravi Kumar Shikandar, assaulted the husband of the informant with an iron rod on the head, due to which he received a bleeding head injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus and from perusal of the injury report of the husband of the informant, it transpires that he has received only one injury on the head whereas there is allegation against four persons of assaulting with an iron rod. He further submits that there is also a counter version of this case. There was free fight between the parties. He further submits that similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 8291 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 03.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



S.D.J.M., Sherghati at Gaya in connection with Lutua P.S. Case
No. 25 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

