

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17285 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

=====

Ram Babu Ray @ Rambabu Ray S/o Kameshwar Ray Resident of Loha Tola,
P.S.- Khaira, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amrendra Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Prakash Chandra, Advocate
		Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
		Ms. Ranjana Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Asha Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila (Saran) P.S. Case No. 75 of 2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 79, 111, 141, 143, 145, 98, 296, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 79 of the J.J. Act, Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 of ITPA and Section 16 of Bonded Labour Abolition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner is the owner of the Welcome Orchestra, and on a search being made, minor girls were found. It is alleged that the petitioner has employed them on meagre wages and they were



forced to dance in small clothes.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the petitioner is only the owner of the orchestra, and it is alleged that he has forced the minor girls to dance and that during the investigation, the victims have stated that they were working on meager wages. He further submits that similarly situated other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide Cr. Misc. No. 84015 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in trial and shall not indulge himself in future in such kind of business.** The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or



surrender in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 75 of 2025, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, (POCSO), Saran subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

