

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15178 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-413 Year-2025 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

1. Manju Devi, wife of Kandev Rai @ Kandev Prasad Yadav Resident of Village - Majhar, P.S.- Pakaridayal, Dist. - East Champaran.
2. Kandev Rai @ Kandev Prasad Yadav Son of Late Lakhan Yadav Resident of Village - Majhar, P.S.- Pakaridayal, Dist. - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hemant Ray, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Asha Kumari, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 413 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 103(1) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., all the accused persons including the petitioners have committed murder of the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the deceased. They are innocent and they have



falsely been implicated in the case. They are living separately and they have no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Specific allegation is against the gotni (sister-in-law) of the deceased. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is general and omnibus. The petitioners have clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the F.I.R, it appears that the deceased used to complain that the Meghnath and his wife used to quarrel with her and the petitioners supported them, post mortem report reveals that the death has been caused due to asphyxia. Considering the nature of allegation made against the petitioners to be general and omnibus and the specific allegation is against the gotni (sister-in-law) of the deceased, I find that the petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten



thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Pakaridayal P.S. Case No. 413 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

8. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

