

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15301 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2026 Thana- BHIMPUR District- Supaul

=====

Sonu Kumar S/O Dayanand Thakur Resident of village - Chainpur, Ward No.
01, Police Station - Bhimpur, District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shankar Sharma S/O Satyadeo Sharma Resident of village - Chainpur, Post-
Balua, Ward No. 01, Police Station - Bhimpur, District - Supaul

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2026 Heard Mr. Arun, learned counsel for the petitioner and

Mr. Nagendra Prasad, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
05.01.2026 in connection with G.R. Case No. 24 of 2026,
arising out of Bhimpur P.S. Case No. 07 of 2026, F.I.R. dated
04.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2),
96, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he and other
co-accused persons are alleged to have kidnapped the minor
daughter of the informant

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. The victim girl was recovered and her statement was recorded u/s 183 of BNSS in which she has not supported the case of prosecution. Apart from aforesaid Police has not registered the case under POCSO Act. He further submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 05.01.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that the petitioner has clean antecedent and the victim has not supported the case of prosecution, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM, Supaul in connection with G.R. Case No. 24 of 2026, arising out of Bhimpur P.S. Case No. 07 of 2026, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

