

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15572 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-429 Year-2017 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - BIRPUR District-
Supaul

-
1. Ram Ekwah Sah @ Bhutan Sah @ Ramakwah Sahu Son of Late Lakhan Sah Resident of village- Kunauli, Ward no. 1, Ps- Kunauli, Dist- Supaul
 2. Dana Devi Wife of Ram Ekwah Sah @ Bhutan Sah Resident of village- Kunauli, Ward no. 1, Ps- Kunauli, Dist- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Renu Kumari wife of Ashok Kumar Sah Resident of village-Birpur Ward no. 1, Ps- Birpur, Dist- Supaul

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Choubey Jawahar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 429C of 2017 for the offence punishable under sections 498A of the IPC lodged by the informant on 27.11.2017.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioners is that they along with others had assaulted and ousted the informant on 12.08.2013 from the matrimonial home after taking her belongings due to non fulfillment of dowry. The informant was married in the year 2001 and she has been blessed with four children. Since thereafter, she has been living at her 'Naihar'.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the informant respectively. The name of these petitioners have been incorporated in the complaint case with ulterior motives merely because they are parents-in-law while there is nothing specific alleged against them. The marriage is of 17 years old and they have got no concerned with day-to-day affairs of the complainant. The petitioners are aged about 74 years and 68 years respectively, they are also suffering from various old age ailments. Therefore, they are seeking anticipatory bail.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation which *prima facie* looks general and omnibus against these petitioners, they are old parents-in-law suffering from old age ailments, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ***Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Birpur/the court concerned*** in connection with



aforesaid PS Case, subject to the conditions as laid down under
Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following
conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his/their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

