

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19069 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2024 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

=====

Ajay Sah @ Ajay Sah @ Ajay Kumar S/o Nagendra Sah Resident of Village -
Bhagwanpur, P.S - Kateya, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 07-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323,
324, 307, 302, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner had earlier moved this Court seeking anticipatory bail
by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 57094 of 2024 and the
same came to be allowed by an order dated 06.08.2024, but then
petitioner could not surrender within the time stipulated time in
the order dated 06.08.2024 in Criminal Miscellaneous No.
57094 of 2024, as such, he filed a modification application
being Criminal Miscellaneous No. 17325 of 2025 for extending
the time of surrender, but then the same also came to be rejected



by an order dated 19.03.2025.

4. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2025 and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature and the informant alleges that his father was brutally assaulted by the accused persons including the petitioner and he was taken to Sadar hospital, Gopalganj where after treatment he was discharged, but subsequently his condition deteriorated when his father was being taken to Gorakhpur, he died, it is thus submitted that the assault cannot be the proximate cause of death. It is further submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 02 of 2024.

6. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court



comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial, in both the conditions, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

