

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15699 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-125 Year-2025 Thana- JANTA BAZAR District- Saran

Pankaj Kumar Yadav @ Pankaj Ray @ Pankaj S/o- Shambhu Nath Yadav @
Shambhu Ray R/v- Patedhiya, P.S.- Janta Bazar, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Meena Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The Investigating Officer of the case in compliance of the order dated 24.03.2026 is present in the Court.

3. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a young boy aged about 26 years and the informant alleges that he along with his brother were returning home after attending a wedding, when they were intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioner and they tried to commit loot, on alarm, Akhilesh



gave orders to kill on which Ritik assaulted the informant by knife while petitioner assaulted his brother by knife causing injury on head requiring ten stitches.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that the informant alleges that while he was coming back home along with his brother, they were intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioner with an intent to commit loot. It is next submitted that it does not appear probable that the accused persons would have intercepted the informant with an intent to commit loot when they were known to the informant as the accused have been implicated in the instant case by name. It is also submitted that from side of the petitioner Janta Bazar P.S. Case No. 126 of 2025 has been instituted against the informant and his side. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR it would manifest that as far as this petitioner is concerned, the informant alleges that he assaulted his brother by knife causing injury on head requiring ten stitches but then from perusal of the injury report it would manifest that the injury has been opined to be simple caused by hard and blunt substance and the blow is not alleged to be repeated. It is thus submitted



that the informant with an intent to give seriousness to the case falsely alleged that he along with his brother were assaulted with knife by Ritik and petitioner but then the injury suffered by informant also has been opined to be simple caused by hard and blunt substance. On query of the Court from the Investigating Officer of the case that as to whether the injured were assaulted by knife or not, it is submitted that no doubt occurrence took place but then both side assaulted each other and the injured were assaulted by *fatta* (bamboo). It is also submitted that even doctors have found the injury to be simple caused by hard and blunt substance.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner may abscond on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence. It is also submitted that in the nature of allegations as alleged in the FIR which does not get corroborated by the injury report if petitioner is sent to judicial custody his entire career would get jeopardized and chances are bright that he may come in contact with hardened criminals when admittedly petitioner is a person



with clean antecedent.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Janta Bazar P.S. Case No. 125 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

8. One of the bailor of the petitioner shall be his father Shambhu Nath Yadav @ Shambhu Ray.

9. The personal appearance of the Investigating Officer of the case is dispensed with.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

