IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.598 of 2026
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12103 of 2023

CNR No. BRHC010203492026

==========

Sanjay Kumar Sharma S/o- Jagat Narayan Sharma Resident of C-33, Arunoday Colony, Near Pani Tanki, Transport Nagar, B.H. Colony, Patna - 800026 (Bihar).

... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Shri Ajay Yadav Department of Registration, Excise and Prohibition, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Excise Commissioner, Shri Anshul Agarwal, Department of Registration, Excise and Prohibition, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Dr. Thiyagarajan S.M., The District Magistrate-Cum-Collector, Patna.
4. Sri Kartikeya Kumar Sharma, The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
5. Sri Shrikant Kundlik Khandekar, The Senior Deputy Collector, Patna.
6. The Assistant Excise Commissioner, Sri Dipak Kumar Mishra, Patna.
7. The Station House Officer, Sri Rajesh Kumar Jha, Agamkuan Police Station, Patna.
8. M/s Pasrsuram Roadways, At Ranchi Harmu Road, Bano Manjil, Near Pahari Temple Ranchi, District - Ranchi (Jharkhand), through its proprietor Vishnu Kumar Sharma (Male), aged about 44 Years, Son of Shambhu Dayal Sharma, Resident of Block No.- 07/01/2018, Road No. 04, Durga Puja Maidan, Post Office - Tata Nagar, Police Station - Bagbera, Bagbera Colony, District Purbi Singhbhum (Jharkhand).

... ... Opposite Partys

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Nandan Prasad, Advocate Mr. Vishesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party No. 8	:	Mr. Siya Ram Shahi, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Alok Kumar @ Alok Kr. Shahi, GA-7

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

Date : 28-10-2026

WEB COPY

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 05.10.2026, learned counsel for the State has produced before this Court a Demand Draft bearing No. 074603 dated 13.10.2026 for a sum of ₹7,65,000/- (Rupees Seven Lakh Sixty Five Thousand Only), issued in favour of the petitioner.

3. Let a xerox copy of the said demand draft be marked as ‘X’ and made part of the record.

4. Let the demand draft be handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Raj Nandan Prasad.

5. It is not in dispute that the petitioner in the present contempt application, who was Respondent No. 8 in the earlier writ petition, had purchased the vehicle in question through auction-cum-sale and had got the same registered in his name. While disposing of the said writ petition, this Court directed that, if the vehicle was in possession of the petitioner therein, the same shall be returned forthwith to the auction purchaser, failing which the auction purchaser would be entitled to litigation costs quantified at ₹50,000/- payable by the petitioner therein. Since the vehicle was already in possession of the petitioner in the earlier writ petition, who is Opposite Party No. 8 herein, it continued to

WEB COPY

remain in his possession. However, following the accident, the vehicle is presently lying at the concerned Police Station.

6. Since the consideration amount has already been paid to the petitioner in the present contempt application by the State Government, the petitioner and Opposite Party No. 8 shall take all necessary steps for transferring the registration of the vehicle in favour of the petitioner, who is the auction purchaser, preferably within a period of eight weeks from today.

7. Accordingly, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sachin/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	29.10.2026
Transmission Date	N.A.

WEB COPY