

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.830 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-247 Year-2025 Thana- GAIGHAT District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Ram Kishor Sahani @ Ramishor Sahni S/o Late Mahavir Sahani R/o Village -
Bhusra Malahtoli, P.S - Gaighat, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Asheshwar Ram S/o Late Janak Ram R/o Village - Locha, P.S - Gaighat,
District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sadanand Paswan
For the Res No. 2	:	Mr. Hari Kishor Thakur, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The present memo of appeal has been preferred against the Judgment and Order dated 06.01.2026 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Court, SC/ST Act, Muzaffarpur in connection with Gaighat P.S. Case No. 247 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103, 238, 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act, whereby the prayer for regular bail of the appellant has been rejected.



3. As per the prosecution case, the appellant is alleged to have called the deceased from his house and taken him away before the occurrence. Subsequently, the dead body of the deceased was recovered in a brutally injured condition with grievous injuries on different parts of the body. It has further been alleged that when the informant approached the accused persons, they abused him by taking the name of his caste and also intimidated him. The prosecution further alleges that the deceased had previous dealings with the accused persons in relation to illegal liquor business.

5. It is a case of last seen and it is for the appellant to show that he is not involved in the crime. He is in custody since 19.11.2025.

4. Considering the gravity and seriousness of the allegations, the nature of accusation, the manner in which the offence is alleged to have been committed and the specific allegations attracting the provisions of the SC/ST Act, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the appellant.

5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed and the prayer for regular bail of the appellant stands rejected.

6. The trial is directed to be expedited. If the trial is not concluded within one year and is delayed by the



prosecution, the appellant may renew his prayer for bail.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

