

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14814 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-313 Year-2023 Thana- LAURIA District- West Champaran

Akhilesh singh S/O Late Gaya Singh R/O Sirkahiya, PPS-Lauriya, Distt-West
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant :	Ms. Kumari Aakash Rai, Advocate
	Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
	Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in connection with Lauriya P.S. Case No. 313 of 2023 instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307, 302, 504 and 506 of IPC.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and one Chandan Kumar assaulted with iron rod and farsa to the brother of the informant due to which he succumbed to injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. The nature of allegation is general and omnibus. He has further submitted that



similarly situated other co-accused person has already been granted bail by learned co-ordinate Bench of this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 26.03.2025. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 05.03.2024 having no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of regular bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that though in this case there are nine accused persons but specific allegation against this petitioner and Chandan that they have assaulted the brother of the informant with farsa and iron rod due to which he died. It has further been submitted that the case of this petitioner is not similar to that of Dilip Singh as he was having only allegation of assaulting the informant and the informant has sustained simple injury. Learned counsel for the informant has further submitted that in this case trial is in progress and out of six witnesses only two official witnesses are remain to be examined.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case and as the trial is at its fag end, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his



prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after three months if the trial is not concluded.

8. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial conclude the same within the period as stated above.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

