

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15402 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-104 Year-2025 Thana- TARIYANI CHAPRA PS District-
Sheohar

Md. Ahtesham Alam @ Sonu, S/o Md. Muzaffar Alam, R/o Village - Khortha,
Ward No. 12, P.S - Belsand, District - Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arvind Verma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy, Advocate
		Mr. Vishal Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Abhishek Aryans, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 15-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Tariyani Chhapra P.S. Case No. 104 of 2025 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the
B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that
unknown miscreants have killed the husband of the informant
who was a rural medical practitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that during course of investigation, the name of this petitioner has surfaced in para 59 of the case diary, where spy has disclosed that there was rivalry between the petitioner and the deceased as both were in same profession and the deceased was emerging as good professional in that area. It has further been submitted that police has also extracted confessional statement of the petitioner. Save and except the confessional statement, there is nothing against him. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 22.12.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that on the disclosure of this petitioner, the weapon of assault was recovered. It has further been submitted that during investigation, certain witnesses have seen the petitioner fleeing from the place of occurrence. In confessional statement, this petitioner has stated that he has stabbed the deceased many times which is corroborated by the postmortem report.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.



7. However, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail
after six months if the trial is not concluded.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

