

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14445 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2025 Thana- CHOUTARWA District- West
Champaran

=====

Rohit Kumar Sharma S/o Rahul Sharma Resident of Vill- Sheikh Dhurwa,
P.S.- Manuapool, Dist- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Central Bank of India through its Regional Manager, Motihari Range,
Regional Office, Motihari Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sitesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Tapeswar Sharma, APP
For the Bank	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Advocate
		Ms. Zeba Akhtar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 31-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf

of the Bank.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case

registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2),

316(5), 318(4) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges

that on 04.11.2024, Parsauni branch of Central Bank of India

was inspected by him where Chunmun Shukla was posted as

Branch Manager and during the physical inquiry of cash register



and cash amount, an amount of Rs. 1,36,400/- was found short and for the said amount, cheque and withdrawal form of the customers were kept in the cash counter, hence the FIR was instituted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant being Assistant Manager of the bank. It is also submitted that there is a general practice in the bank that sometimes due to failure of the link of computer or some other mechanical difficulty, the bank pay the cash to customer by keeping the cheque/withdrawal slip of the customer, the said practice is resorted to only to gain the faith of the customer and to avoid gathering in the bank, moreover, the FIR itself suggests that cheque and withdrawal form of the same amount i.e. Rs. 1,36,400/- were found at the cash counter. It is further submitted that the date of inspection of the bank was 04.11.2024 and the FIR came to be instituted on 12.09.2025 without explaining the delay. It is further submitted that petitioner was suspended, but subsequently his suspension was revoked. It is further submitted that Chunmun Shukla had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 5931 of 2026 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated



04.02.2026 by a learned Co-ordinate Bench.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Choutarwa P.S. Case No. 277 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

