

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19808 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2025 Thana- MOUZA HIDPUR District- Bhagalpur

Priyaranjan Jha S/o Devnandan Jha Resident of - Chakrami, Police Station -
Bhawanipur (Narayanpur), District - Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Alok Kumar Verma Son of Ajay Kumar Verma Resident of Sikandarpur Pani
Tanki, P.S.- Mojahidpur, Bhagalpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Dr. Manoj Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh- A.P.P.
	Mr. Shashi Kumar Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 29-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 316(2), 318(4), 352, 351(2)(3) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that an amount of Rs.22,52,000/- was paid to
the petitioner through cash and bank transaction in lieu of
purchasing land for which an agreement for sale was executed,
but the sale deed was not executed and the informant came to



know that the land is under litigation. Further, petitioner returned only an amount of Rs.8,90,000/- and rest amount was misappropriated.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that based on an agreement, it is alleged that an amount Rs.22,52,000/- was paid to the petitioner by the informant for purchasing land. It is next submitted that the dispute is civil to which a criminal colour has been given. It is next submitted that the instant criminal case has been instituted only with an intent to coerce the petitioner into submission, so that he parts with the fanciful demands of the informant. It is next submitted that had the informant approached the Court of competent civil jurisdiction, in that event, the petitioner would have appeared and would have rebutted the claim of the informant, but then, the instant criminal case has been instituted only with an intent to coerce him into submission. It is also submitted that whether petitioner cheated or the money has already been returned is an aspect of investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel



appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then, are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that based on an agreement, the money is alleged to have been given to the petitioner by the informant for purchasing land, since a dispute has arisen with regard to money, in that event, the informant ought to have approached the Court of competent civil jurisdiction for recovering the amount instead of instituting a criminal case.

6. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that no doubt, agreement was entered in between the informant and the petitioner, but then the petitioner did not disclose the fact that a litigation is pending with respect to the land, on which the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not a party to any litigation with respect to the land in dispute.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Mojahidpur P. S. Case No.127 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

