

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16681 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-543 Year-2025 Thana- VAISHALI District- Vaishali

Neeraj Kumar Son of Krishan Mohan Prasad Singh Resident of village and
P.S.- Vaishali, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Pushpa Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Vaishali P.S. Case No. 543 of 2025 lodged on 26.07.2025, for the offence punishable under Section 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) & 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against three named accused persons including the present petitioner and 10-15 unknown persons with allegation that they came at the shop of the informant and started abusing him. The specific allegation against the petitioner is that he has assaulted the informant by pistol on his head, due to which, he injured. It has been further alleged that the accused persons snatched golden chain amounting Rs. 1,50,000/-, mobile phone amounting



Rs.70,000/- and Rs. 40,000/- cash from the informant. The accused persons also threatened the informant not to file a case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the informant and the petitioner, both are resident of the same village and well known to each other. Counsel has taken defence that the petitioner has a banquet hall in Vaishali market and the informant wanted to book the said hall for his relative for free of cost. But for that, the petitioner was not ready and thereafter, the informant hatched a conspiracy against the petitioner and filed a criminal case against him. He further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean as there is one case pending against him, in which, he is on bail. He also submits that on previous occasion, case diary and injury report were called for, and as per the injury report, it is clear that all injuries are simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance. Counsel submits that the petitioner is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner but submits that in the case diary, it has come that the dispute taken place between the informant and the petitioner is only due to money lending.



6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioner be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of J.M. 1st Class, Vaishali at Hajipur, in connection with Vaishali P.S. Case No. 543 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

