

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15365 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-501 Year-2025 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

1. Asha Devi W/o Lalan Sah Resident of village - Baruari Pashchim West, Ward No 03, POlice Station - Supaul, District - Supaul
2. Manisha Devi @ Manisha Kumari W/o Santosh Kumar Sah Resident of village - Baruari Pashchim West, Ward No 03, POlice Station - Supaul, District - Supaul
3. Santosh Kumar Sah S/o Lalan Sah Resident of village - Baruari Pashchim West, Ward No 03, POlice Station - Supaul, District - Supaul
4. Lalan Sah S/o Late Harihar Sah Resident of village - Baruari Pashchim West, Ward No 03, POlice Station - Supaul, District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Arun, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized with co-accused Subhodh Sah about six years ago as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, committed torture and



harassment with the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, all the accused persons committed murder of the victim.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case merely because Petitioner No. 1 happens to be mother-in-law, Petitioner No. 2 happens to be sister-in-law, Petitioner No. 3 happens to be brother-in-law and Petitioner No. 4 happens to be father-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners are victim of over implication, are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody since 13.05.2026. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the



case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 501 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

