

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18041 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-417 Year-2023 Thana- EKMA District- Saran

=====

Dhanu Kumar @ Dhannu Kumar S/o Gautam Patel R/o Vill.- Tarbaniya, P.S. -
Ekma, Dist.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pronoti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail

in connection with Ekma P.S. Case No. 417 of 2023 registered

for the offence under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code

and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was

rejected on 31.07.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 29695 of 2024 which

reads as follows:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Ekma P.S. Case No. 417 of 2023, dated 08.10.2023,
registered for the offence under Sections 302/34 of the
Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, one
businessman Rajesh Prasad was shot on way to home
and during treatment he died. During investigation, the



name of the petitioner has come in the confessional statement of the co-accused Govinda @ Munna. He has said that he along with the petitioner and other co-accused persons have killed the deceased. The petitioner was assigned with the work to keep watch on the police. The petitioner is involved in the conspiracy to kill the deceased and he is in jail since 16.11.2023.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has been made accused in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Govinda @ Munna. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent and he is in jail since 16.11.2023.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail and submits that the petitioner was involved in the killing of the deceased.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and the serious allegation against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the application of the petitioner for grant of regular bail is dismissed.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that out of six witnesses, four witnesses have already been examined. It is further submitted that the last witness was examined on 12.06.2025, and thereafter, no further witness has been examined.

5. In view of the fact that the trial has already progressed, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application for regular bail stands rejected.

6. The Superintendent of Police, Saran, is directed to



ensure the attendance of the remaining witnesses in the trial so that the trial is concluded at the earliest.

7. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Saran, through FAX or e-mail for immediate compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

