

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18199 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-  
Begusarai

=====

Mukesh Singh @ Mukesh Kumar Singh Son of Late Ram Bahadur Singh R/o  
Village - Khanhar Ward no. 10, P.S. - Begusarai Muffasil, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                              |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate    |
|                            |   | Mr. Ravi Kant, Advocate      |
|                            |   | Mr. Sarita Kumari, Advocate  |
|                            |   | Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s : |   | Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP     |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      07-05-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Begusarai Muffasil P.S. Case no.240 of 2025 registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 118(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the petitioner armed with an axe as also Bandana Devi and Fruti Kumari armed with *hasua* and *dabia* are said to have assaulted the informant resulting in serious injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. No such



occurrence as alleged in the FIR has taken place and the manner of occurrence is other than what has been narrated therein. The petitioner is in custody since 4.1.2026 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner of having assaulted the informant with an axe on her head together with the corresponding injury having been found on the body of the informant, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after six months.

**(Partha Sarthy, J)**

Shiv/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

