

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14847 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-276 Year-2024 Thana- KHAJEKALA District- Patna

Ashok Kumar Sinha S/o- Late Bijendra Prasad Village- Chowah Lal Lane,
Nawab Bahadur Road, P.S.- Khajekala, Patna City, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 06-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 420, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that one Mukesh Kumar Sinha was known to him and he introduced himself as board member of Rajni Enterprises and Rajni Coal Limited and thus convinced the informant that he will give 7.25 % per month by way of interest on his principal amount, the informant being convinced by Mukesh Kumar Sinha, invested an amount of Rs.29 lacs in both the companies.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that thrust of the allegation is against Mukesh Kumar Sinha. It is further submitted that petitioner is uncle of Mukesh came to be implicated. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that he paid an amount of Rs.5 lacs to Mukesh in presence of the petitioner. It is also submitted that the offences for which the instant FIR has been instituted carries punishment of 7 years and less. It is also submitted that petitioner is senior citizen aged about 70 years and has remained a person with clean antecedent all through out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner rather the allegation against the petitioner is that in his presence an amount of Rs.5 lacs was given to Mukesh.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khajekala P.S. Case No.276/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

